

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/803,335	ALFKE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Helen Rossoshek	2825	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Helen Rossoshek.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Justin Liu (Registration No. 51,959).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 April 2005
**Time:** 3:50 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-18

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner proposed a restriction of claims 1-18, such as Group I: claims 1-4; Group II: claims 5-18. A provisional election by Applicant's representative Mr. Liu was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II (see attached Detailed action).

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)